

Marks and Standards Policy

Document Area	Academic Quality Assurance and Enhancement	
Document Function/	Vice President Academic Affairs & Registrar	
Owner		
Author	Quality Assurance & Enhancement Team	
Required Approval	Academic Council	

Issued Document Location

Internal Staff Portal / Hub	Yes (default repository)	
Internal Student Portal / Hub	Yes	
ATU Website	Yes	
Issue Date	08 December 2023	
Effective Date	08 December 2023	

Contents

1.	Purpose	4
2.	Scope	4
3.	External Reference Documents	4
4.	Policy	4
4.1	Key Definitions	5
4.2	External Regulatory Framework	5
4.3	Guiding Principles	6
4.4	Responsibilities of the Student	7
4.5	Assessment	8
4.6	Accommodations for Students with Disabilities	10
4.7	Student Performance in Assessment	11
4.8	Module Exemption	13
4.9	Progression	13
4.10	Borderline Performance	13
4.11	Impaired Performance	14
4.12	2 Deferral of Assessment / Examination	15
4.13	Student Consultations	15
4.14	Repeat Assessment / Examination	16
4.15	Recording and Reporting of Assessment Performance (including Withholding of Results)	17
4.16	Required Standard for a University Award	17
4.17	7 Standard for Classification of a University Award	17
4.18	3 Assessment Regulations	18

4 19	Conflict of Interest in the Assessment Process	19
7.13	Connect of interest in the Assessment Process	13
4.20	Revocation of a University Award	19
4.21	Responsibilities	19
5.	Associated Documents Generated by this Policy	24
6.	Revision History	24
	•	
7.	Appendices	25
7.1	Glossary of Acronyms Used in this Policy	25

1. Purpose

This document specifies Atlantic Technological University's (ATU) policy for recording, aggregating and ratifying student performances in each academic year. We use this to determine student academic standing, eligibility for progression, eligibility for an award, and award classification. This policy forms part of our *Quality Assurance & Enhancement Framework* (QAEF) and should be read with other policies and documents within the framework.

2. Scope

This policy applies to all students registered on programmes approved by the University as an autonomous designated awarding body (DAB), as provided for in the Qualifications and Quality Assurance (Education and Training) Act 2012 and the Qualifications and Quality Assurance (Education and Training) Amendment Act 2019.

3. External Reference Documents

- Technological Universities Act 2018
- Qualifications and Quality Assurance (Education and Training) Act 2012
- Qualifications and Quality Assurance (Education and Training) Amendment Act 2019

4. Policy

The sections that follow detail the *regulatory context* of assessment; their *general guiding principles*; the *assessment and examination process* at ATU; and the *roles and responsibilities* of individuals and university units / functions involved in assessment, examination and marking. This document also refers to *University procedures* in respect of examination and assessment. Procedures are documented separately and are accessible via the University staff and student portals.

4.1 Key Definitions

Programme: ATU provides programmes of study, the successful completion of which leads to a university award. Programmes are comprised of module(s) of study, which are organised into pathways for completion over the duration of the programme.

Approved Programme Schedule (APS): refers to the set of prescribed modules (together with their associated credits, teaching contact hours, pathway, and breakdown of assessment) that make up a full programme of study.

Stage: A stage entails a prescribed number of ECTS credits to be completed by a student. Programmes are organised into one or more stages delineated by academic year or calendar year.

Semester: A year may be further divided into two semesters.

Module: A module is a discrete unit of study. Each module carries a credit weighting in accordance with the European Credit Transfer & Accumulation System (ECTS). At ATU, all modules account for a minimum of 5 ECTS credits, or whole multiples thereof.

Exemption: Once the credits associated with a module have been awarded to a candidate, the candidate is exempt from any further assessment obligations in respect of that module.

Examination Board: refers to scheduled meetings of programme examiners which are independently chaired by a nominee of the Vice President for Academic Affairs & Registrar. The remit of the examination board is to consider and verify student performance in assessment and examination and to make decisions in respect of student progression and awards. Examination Board decisions are subsequently recommended to Academic Council for approval.

4.2 External Regulatory Framework

As part of the Bologna process, the European Qualifications Framework (EQF) was devised as a common reference framework to make qualifications more transferable and understandable across countries and systems.

Within this system, programmes are designed in accordance with the European Credit Transfer System (ECTS). Irish Higher Education Institutes (HEIs) work within the National Framework of Qualifications (NFQ), which is aligned to the European Qualifications Framework (EQF). Established in 2003, the NFQ is a 10-level system used to describe qualifications in the Irish education and training system. It describes what students need to know, understand and be able to do to achieve a qualification and it lists the main qualifications awarded at each level. The NFQ also identifies pathways from one NFQ level to the next, promoting greater transparency and trust in qualifications.

Because of the formal alignment of the NFQ with the EQF, qualifications achieved in Ireland are internationally transferable. All awards made by Atlantic Technological University are included in the NFQ, and it is the responsibility of the University to ensure that students have acquired the standard of knowledge, skill and competence associated with the NFQ level of an award. ATU offers programmes of study leading to awards at Levels 6 to 10 of the National Framework of Qualifications.

Within the European Higher Education Area (EHEA), the Standards and Guidelines for Quality Assurance in the European Higher Education Area (ESG 2015) is the benchmark for higher education quality assurance. ESG advocates that 'assessment is consistent, fairly applied to all students, and carried out in accordance with the stated procedures' (Standard 1.3). Within Ireland, Quality and Qualifications Ireland (QQI) is the state agency responsible for the external quality assurance of further and higher education and training. QQI issues quality assurance guidelines, approves providers' quality assurance procedures, and also monitors and reviews providers. Established through the Qualifications and Quality Assurance (Education and Training) Act 2012, QQI requires institutions to establish quality assurance policies and procedures which are fair and consistent for the assessment of enrolled students, and which follow standards determined by QQI in the context of the NFQ.

4.3 Guiding Principles

The following principles underpin the assessment and examinations regulations detailed in later sections of this document:

- 1) Students are responsible for demonstrating their learning achievement.
- 2) Students will be provided with opportunities to demonstrate their learning which are fair, consistent, valid, and reliable.
- Assessment should infer the level of attainment by the student of the module and programme learning outcomes. Assessment should also promote effective learning and teaching.
- 4) Examination Boards shall consider the totality of a student's overall performance in making decisions on progression, award eligibility and award classification.
- 5) All members of Examination Boards have a responsibility to ensure the integrity and success of the assessment process, and must always act in a fair, objective, and professional manner.
- 6) Results are provisional until ratified by Academic Council.

7) Atlantic Technological University is committed to the review of this policy at least once during the lifecycle of each Academic Council.

4.4 Responsibilities of the Student

Students have obligations and standards of behaviour to observe in respect of registration, attendance, and assessment.

Registration:

As a student, you must:

- ensure that you are correctly registered on your programme of study
- ensure that you are correctly registered on all mandatory modules and any elective modules you are pursuing
- ensure that you are correctly registered for examinations.

Attendance:

As a student, you should:

- attend all scheduled examinations (class tests, practical tests etc...)
- notify your Lecturer and the School / Faculty Office in writing of reasons for absence from class tests, practical tests etc. (supplying relevant documentary evidence, where appropriate)
- complete all assessment work as required
- comply with all requirements and instructions when submitting your work

<u>Assessment & Examination:</u>

As a student, you must:

- familiarise yourself with the assessment plan for your programme of study
- abide by any examination guidelines for an assessment or examination you are undertaking
- familiarise yourself with the assessment criteria for each assessment / examination including attendance requirements, submission requirements, marking scheme(s) and any penalties relating to late submission and / or non-submission and /or nonattendance
- abide by the procedure for notifying academic staff and / or administration staff for any impaired performance, as outlined in this document (section 4.11)

abide by the University policies on plagiarism and academic integrity

• Attend for a verification of assessment meeting if requested to do so

4.5 Assessment

Assessment involves the application of processes and instruments to infer a level of student attainment. The goal of assessment is to provide opportunities to students to demonstrate their learning, particularly their achievement of the learning outcomes associated with a module or programme. The sub-sections that follow describe the examination regulations and standards for student assessment to ensure that these opportunities are valid and that they are administered fairly, consistently, and reliably.

4.5.1 Programme Assessment Plan

All programmes shall have an assessment plan for each stage of the programme.

With regard and reference to the approved programme schedule (APS), the assessment plan shall detail for each module of the programme:

- The number of assessments (assessment components or instances)
- The type of assessment (e.g., written examination, practical examination, project, report, presentation, oral examination etc.)
- The nature of each assessment (e.g., individual assessment or group assessment)
- The allocation of marks / weighting associated with each assessment component
- The indicative dates for assessment
- The arrangements for repeat assessment

The assessment plan for the stage / semester shall be agreed by the Programme Board in advance of commencement of teaching and shall be communicated to all students within one week of teaching commencing.

4.5.2 Form of Assessment

Student assessment is fundamentally concerned with validating and documenting student learning, and the extent to which programme and module learning outcomes have been achieved. Standards for assessment prescribed in this

document apply to all forms of assessment, regardless of when, where, or how it takes place.

There is merit in assessing students over the entire duration of a module's delivery period.

Coursework Assessment (CA) may take on a variety of forms and includes but is not limited to assessment of practical work, laboratory work, project work, reports, essays, dissertations, oral presentations, assignments, work practice, quizzes, and class tests. Coursework assessment takes place in tandem with the delivery of a module, oftentimes in a continuous fashion.

Final Examination (FE) often involves a time-limited invigilated examination after module delivery has concluded where a candidate may be required to answer questions and return a written or digital script / answer book for marking. Final exams can occur in person and / or virtually and may take several forms.

Repeat Assessment (RA) relates to assessment of a module, in whole or in part, at a time and date after the original schedule of assessment occurred.

4.5.3 Timing of Assessment

For coursework assessment, individual module lecturers, module delivery teams and the wider Programme Board should individually and collectively organise and schedule CA with due regard to the academic workload (teaching / learning and assessment) of the student from week to week. A Programme Chair and / or the year / stage coordinator may coordinate this activity, where necessary. The goal is to achieve a balanced CA schedule which is clearly recorded on the *Programme Assessment Plan* (see section 4.5.1) and communicated to students at the start of each module.

The range of dates for final examination sessions are set out in the Academic Calendar. The exact dates for each individual examination, including start time, duration and venue shall be published by the University Examination Office to the "Student Hub" web portal at least four weeks in advance of the start of each examination session.

Repeat assessment normally take place immediately prior to the commencement of teaching in the new academic year. Where the 'repeat' is in respect of (previous) final examination, the repeat examination is organised and scheduled by the

University Examinations Office. However, the 'repeat' of certain coursework assessment activity may be organised and scheduled by the module lecturer.

Programme Boards should be mindful of the practicalities of offering certain repeat / alternative assessment opportunities. For example, it may not be feasible for some coursework assessment tasks to be repeated in the same format and / or timeframe as the original assessment. In such cases, through assessment re-design, it may be possible to offer an alternative 'repeat' assessment to students which is as reliable, fair, and valid as the original, notwithstanding that the original would be preferable if timing was not a constraint.

Finally, in some cases, it may not be possible for module or programme learning outcomes to be achieved without a candidate reattending a module. In such cases, the Examination Board may recommend that the candidate 'repeat-attend' the module to achieve the module learning outcomes. A candidate may not repeat an examination and / or coursework assessment in the scheduled 'repeat sitting' (usually Autumn) if a requirement of 'repeat-attend' has been stipulated as part of programme validation and included on the APS.

4.5.4 Verification of Assessment

Students are responsible for demonstrating the veracity of their learning. With respect to assessment tasks which are not invigilated, if a lecturer or examiner is of the opinion that work submitted does not demonstrate the student's own learning, or if further evidence is required to make a determination, they may postpone marking of such work and seek to verify it through an additional verification assessment. This may be an oral examination, or an alternative assessment, as determined by the examiner(s).

If a student is unwilling to cooperate with a verification assessment(s), no mark will be awarded for the work under query, and the matter may be referred for further consideration under the *Student Code* and / or other University policies.

4.6 Accommodations for Students with Disabilities

Reasonable accommodation will be made to allow students with disabilities the opportunity to demonstrate their knowledge, skills, and competencies on an equal basis with their peers.

4.7 Student Performance in Assessment

The following sections outline University policy on student performance in assessment, and related outcomes.

4.7.1 Attempt (Assessment / Examination Attempt)

An attempt is defined as an examination sitting and / or submission of assessment components for a module for which a student is registered. If a student does not attend an examination or submit required assessment for a module for which they are registered, they will have forfeited an attempt.

4.7.2 Minimum Pass Mark

Unless otherwise provided for in the module descriptor and APS, the passing mark required to earn the credits associated with a module is 40%.

When the minimum pass mark is obtained in a module for which a candidate is registered, the candidate will be eligible to be awarded the credits associated with that module. The final mark for the module in the most recent attempt will be recorded in the candidate's academic record.

4.7.3 Pass by Compensation

Students not achieving the minimum pass mark in a module may be eligible to earn a 'pass by compensation' subject to the following conditions:

- 1) The marks of all modules in the stage are from first attempts,
- 2) no module mark is more than five percentage points <u>below</u> the minimum pass mark,
- 3) the number of modules within five percentage points below the minimum pass mark must not exceed one-third of the ECTS credits for the stage (for example, no more than 20 ECTS credits in a 60 ECTS credits stage),
- 4) double the deficiency of marks is available within the remaining passed modules, and
- 5) the application of rules 1, 2, 3 and 4 above enable the student to pass the stage in its entirety.

Unless otherwise provided for in the approved programme schedule (APS), a student meeting the above criteria will be deemed to have passed the stage "by compensation". Pass by compensation does not impact eligibility for an award.

Compensation rules will be applied automatically where student performances meet the eligibility conditions specified above.

4.7.4 Successful Completion of a Module

A student cannot reattempt a module for the purposes of earning a higher passing mark or a higher award. For the purpose of professional body membership and / or to satisfy professional exemption requirements, a student who has obtained an exemption in a module may be permitted one further attempt at a final examination in order to obtain a required threshold mark. The marks from qualifier examinations are not entered into the student record system and the award classification is unchanged.

4.7.5 'Must Pass' and Minimum Threshold Assessment Components

A module may contain several assessment components. Students are expected to attempt all components of a module. Failure to attempt a component will result in a mark of zero for that component. The overall mark for the module is calculated from the sum of the weighted marks awarded in each component of assessment and examination (based on the weighting in the APS). The final grade on the *Broadsheet of Results* is the numerical percentage achieved.

Some modules contain a component in which the student must obtain a specific mark in the individual component to pass the module overall. This may be the *minimum pass mark* (for example, 40%), a *minimum threshold score* (for example, 30%) or a *higher pass mark* (for example, 50% for professional accreditation in that module / component). Such condition(s) must be clearly stated in the module descriptor in the APS. The module is failed if the required minimum threshold for any component is not achieved.

Where modules are failed, the marks for all assessment components should be available to the Examination Board.

Minimum thresholds and 'must pass' components should only be used in exceptional circumstances, e.g., health and safety concerns. In accordance with the University's New Programme Development and Validation Policy, where possible, modules should be designed using learning outcomes and assessment methodologies that minimise or negate a 'must pass' or 'threshold' or other restrictive requirements for individual assessment components.

4.8 Module Exemption

Once the credits associated with a module have been awarded to a candidate, the candidate is exempt from any further assessment obligations for that module. An exemption can be earned through successful completion of a module in one or more attempts. Additionally, module exemption(s) may be granted to a candidate under the University's AQAE009 *Recognition of Prior Learning (RPL) Policy*.

4.9 Progression

A candidate who has successfully earned all the credits in a programme stage is eligible to *progress* to the next stage of the programme.

4.9.1 Progression Carrying Failed Module(s)

Within a programme, a candidate may be eligible for consideration to carry up to a maximum of 10 ECTS credits into the next stage if the candidate has:

- (i) successfully completed <u>all</u> other credit bearing modules (typically 50 credits) for the (current) stage under consideration,
- (ii) passed any pre-requisite modules in the current stage that lead to modules on the subsequent stage.

If an Examination Board permits 'progress and carry' for a student, further progression is not possible until the failed modules have been passed (for example, a student cannot carry a failed module at stage 1 beyond stage 2).

Furthermore, failed modules / ECTS credits cannot be "carried" from one award to another.

4.10 Borderline Performance

Borderline performance arises when a student's mark in a module lies close to the pass mark, or when the student's overall result lies close to the transition point between pass and fail or between award classification levels.

Following the confirmation of marks for each module in the stage, the Examination Board will have discretion to consider the totality of the student's overall performance in the stage, and on this basis to recommend that a borderline mark(s) be amended to allow the candidate to pass the stage or to re-classify an award.

Guiding criteria for the Examination Board in respect of borderline performance are as follows:

(a) The overriding criterion to be applied by the Examination Board is that there is evidence that the student has demonstrated beyond reasonable doubt an entitlement to the result under consideration.

- (b) The Examination Board should exercise its discretion with prudence.
- (c) The Examination Board meeting should allow for full and frank discussion of all borderline cases prior to any decision being made. The Board should treat each case fairly and consistently.
- (d) In reviewing the totality of student performance for the purpose of determining an award classification, the Examination Board will exercise its discretion and consider either of the following guidelines:
 - (i) The student's overall average score is within one percentage point of the next graded award classification.
 - (ii) The student has marks at the next higher award classification in at least 50% of the credits contributing to the award.

4.11 Impaired Performance

Students can request that certain matters which have impaired their performance be considered by the Examinations Board.

Impaired performance refers to circumstances which may have adversely affected a student's performance in an examination or assessment. It may arise, for example, due to bereavement, accident or injury, illness, or other unavoidable personal circumstances, but not because of personal culpability. The Examination Board shall have the discretion to consider impaired performance. The Board shall consider such cases in a fair, equitable, consistent, and reasonable manner.

The Board may decide to take no action, to increase the student's marks, or to *void* the student's marks and the attempt and to award a defer result.

It is the student's responsibility to apply for consideration of an impaired assessment / examination performance in advance of the Examination Board Meeting. The procedure for this is documented in the 'Procedure for Highlighting Impaired Performance / Adverse Personal Circumstances'.

4.12 Deferral of Assessment / Examination

A student encountering a problem during the academic year, or during an examination session, which prevents them from taking an examination may apply for an examination deferral for the affected modules. If an examination deferral is approved, an attempt is not used or recorded in respect of the deferred modules.

The procedure for applying for an examination deferral is detailed in 'Procedure for Applying for an Examination Deferral'.

4.13 Student Consultations

After each examination session, Academic Units shall arrange at least one consultation day, on dates as set out in the University Operations Calendar. Academic Units will notify students of the dates and arrangements for consultation days. All staff who had students undertaking an assessment must participate in the consultation days.

At the consultations, examination scripts and other assessed material will be available for inspection by students under the supervision of the lecturer. The responsibility for availing of the opportunity to attend a consultation lies with the student. During consultation, assessment material may not be annotated or altered in any way and students may not remove items from the meeting room.

4.13.1 Recheck

As part of the consultation process, a recheck shall be completed by the lecturer. A recheck entails the administrative procedure of checking and ensuring that all parts of all assessments have been marked and that no error occurred in the recording, collating or calculation of the final module mark. A student not availing of a consultation with a lecturer may separately request a recheck within 3 days of formal notification of final results.

4.13.2 Review

During or following 'student consultation', a student can follow the 'Procedure for Requesting a Review of Examination' to request a review. 'Review' involves the remarking of all relevant assessment material (as determined by the nature of the review request) by an External Examiner or by another suitably qualified person. Review may be relevant when a student is not satisfied with the outcome of a recheck, or directly following inspection of scripts at the consultation session. A student may request a review within 5 days of formal notification of results as per current procedure, and in line with the grounds and deadlines set out in that procedure. Procedures are documented separately and are accessible via the University staff and student portals.

4.13.3 Appeal

'Appeal' involves the consideration of exceptional circumstances relating to assessment <u>excluding</u> the content and marking of assessment(s). A student may submit an *Appeal* within 5 days of formal notification of final results, as per current procedure, and in line with the grounds and deadlines set out in that procedure ('Procedure for Appeal following Examination').

4.13.3.1 Ombudsman and the Ombudsman for Children

Anyone who is dissatisfied with the outcome of the procedures or processes of the University has the right to recourse to the Ombudsman and/or the Ombudsman for Children. The Ombudsman provides an impartial, independent, and free dispute resolution service.

The Ombudsman and the Ombudsman for Children Office function to protect the rights of individuals or groups by independently and impartially investigating complaints made about public bodies.

Normally, engagement with the Office of the Ombudsman and/or the Ombudsman for Children Office will only occur after the internal processes have been exhausted. The Office of the Ombudsman and the Ombudsman for Children Office typically expect any person making an allegation to have first taken reasonable steps to seek redress through the standard University procedures before being contacted. Additionally, the Ombudsman will typically, only deal with complaints once all existing internal procedures have been exhausted. The Ombudsman does not consider matters of academic judgment.

4.14 Repeat Assessment / Examination

Where practicable, in advance of the commencement of the new academic year, a repeat opportunity will be provided in respect of the assessment / examination of each module – see also section 4.5.3 of this document ('Execution (timing) of Assessment').

4.14.1 Maximum Number of Repeat Opportunities

All students will have a repeat opportunity in respect of any module for which they were registered, and for which the module credits were not earned.

Unless otherwise provided for, the maximum number of repeat opportunities for a module is normally *three* further attempts.

An equivalent module may be used if the original module no longer exists. The Head of Department will inform a student who applies to repeat a module no longer available, if such a limitation arises.

4.14.2 Repeating at the Award Stage

For classified awards at the award stage, a student who has gained exemptions but has failed a maximum of 10 ECTS credits will be permitted to repeat the failed modules (including modules in the compensation range), without adversely impacting the award classification subject to the following conditions:

- (i) For award classification purposes, in respect of the module(s) that need to be repeated, the maximum achievable grade shall be capped at Pass for any and all subsequent attempts and the capped grade shall be used in the determination of GPA and award classification.
- (ii) Where the GPA from (i) above meets the minimum threshold for an honours classification, the relevant honours classification can be awarded.

4.15 Recording and Reporting of Assessment Performance (including Withholding of Results)

Results shall be released to students in a data protection compliant manner. Notwithstanding, the University reserves the right to withhold results from students.

Results will not be issued to students who are not in good standing with the University, or to students whose result(s) are in dispute and have been withheld.

4.16 Required Standard for a University Award

To be eligible for a university award, a candidate must satisfy all assessment requirements of the programme as outlined on the Approved Programme Schedule (APS).

4.17 Standard for Classification of a University Award

Taught programmes which lead to a major award are classified based on average overall assessment mark (percentage) achieved in the **final stage** of the award, or as otherwise provided for on the Approved Programme Schedule (APS). A candidate who is deemed eligible for a University award will be eligible for consideration for a classified award as outlined in the following table (subject to the conditions outlined in section 4.14.2 above, *'Repeating at the Award Stage'*, where relevant):

	NFQ Level				
	6	7	8	9	9
Minimum Threshold GPA	Award Classification				
				Postgraduate Diploma	Taught Masters
70.0%	Distinction	Distinction	First Class Honours	First Class Honours	First Class Honours
70.0%	(DT)	(DT)	(H1)	(H1)	(H1)
60.0%	Merit Grade 1	Merit Grade 1	Second Class Honours	Second Class Honours	Second Class Honours
60.076	(M1)	(M1)	Grade 1 (H2.1)	Grade 1 (H2.1)	Grade 1 (H2.1)
50.0%	Merit Grade 2	Merit Grade 2	Second Class Honours	Second Class Honours	Second Class Honours
30.0%	(M2)	(M2)	Grade 2 (H2.2)	Grade 2 (H2.2)	Grade 2 (H2.2)
40.0%	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
40.0%	(PS)	(PS)	(PS)	(PS)	(PS)

Table 1 – Classification of Major University Awards (Taught Programmes)

Research programmes leading to major awards at levels 9 and 10 of the NFQ may be either classified or not classified, as follows:

	NFQ Level			
	9	9	10	10
Minimum Threshold GPA	Award Classification			
	Structured Masters	Research Masters	Structured Doctorate	Research Doctorate
70.0% 60.0% 50.0% 40.0%	First Class Honours (H1)	Not Classified	Not Classified	Not Classified
	Second Class Honours Grade 1 (H2.1)			
	Second Class Honours Grade 2 (H2.2)			
	Pass (PS)			

Table 2 – Classification of Major University Awards (Research Programmes)

4.18 Assessment Regulations

Approved regulations and procedures apply to all University staff and students and to any external third party involved in student assessment and examination. Detail on these regulations and procedures is available in the relevant document, 'Procedure and Regulations for University Assessments and Examinations'.

4.18.1 Breaches of Examination and Assessment Regulations

The University's 'Student Code' is underpinned by the three fundamental principles: Fair Treatment; Honesty and Transparency; Personal Responsibility. Each of these principles outline what students can expect of the University. The Student Code likewise outlines what is expected of students. Breaches of assessment

regulations are dealt with under the relevant University policy (including, where applicable, the ATU Student Code) and related procedure documents.

4.19 Conflict of Interest in the Assessment Process

A conflict of interest (perceived or actual) exists when a student is a close relative of a staff member and / or in a close personal relationship with a staff member. In such cases, the staff member must complete and return a 'Conflict of Interest Form'. The form lists all relationships which constitute conflicts of interest in student assessment.

While staff members may teach relatives / close friends undertaking modules or learning delivered by that staff member, another member of academic staff must grade all work submitted by that student for academic credit and assign a final mark for the module. A member of staff must also recuse themselves from any Examination Board discussion or decision relating to that person. This obligation also extends to professional, management and support staff involved in any part of the assessment *process*.

Research students are not permitted to conduct research for credit under the direct or indirect supervision of a relative.

4.20 Revocation of a University Award

If a concern about the authenticity of work submitted for assessment arises after an award has been approved or conferred, the University may examine the concern, and if there is sufficient evidence that the integrity of the award is compromised, Academic Council may approve revocation of the award.

4.21 Responsibilities

The following outlines the roles and responsibilities of various individuals, academic units, and University functional areas involved in the assessment and examination process.

4.21.1 Vice President for Academic Affairs & Registrar

The Vice President for Academic Affairs & Registrar has overall responsibility for the academic governance and integrity of the assessment, and will ensure the following:

- (i) External Examiners are appointed on a timely basis.
- (ii) The official examination timetable is communicated to students 4 weeks in advance of the commencement of the examination session.

(iii) Students are informed of the University's regulations in respect of the conduct of examinations.

- (iv) Accommodation arrangements are made for holding the examinations, including arrangements for students requiring reasonable accommodations, in accordance with the University policy on the Provision of Reasonable Accommodations for Examinations and Assessments.
- (v) Examination papers are printed in sufficient time and securely stored to enable other organisational arrangements associated with holding the examinations to be carried out on schedule and in an orderly manner.
- (vi) An examination register is provided as the official record of the attendance of each candidate registered for a scheduled terminal examination.
- (vii) Invigilators are provided during each scheduled terminal examination and at each examination centre.
- (viii) Arrangements are made for holding and chairing meetings of Examination Boards, including the provision of completed Broadsheets of Results or other reports.
- (ix) Arrangements are made to issue provisional and final results of examinations to students in a data protection complaint manner.

4.21.2 Head of Faculty / School and Head of Department

The Heads of School / Faculty and Heads of Department play an important role in the governance and integrity of assessment within their functional area, and will ensure that:

- (i) upon appointment, external examiners are provided with access to programme and module documentation, which includes the indicative programme assessment plan, and subsequently with any changes and amendments relating to the programme or module documentation, if changes arise.
- (ii) arrangements are made for holding and chairing Examination Pre-Board (preparatory) meetings for the purpose of completing records of student assessment in advance of the Examination Board meeting(s).
- (iii) arrangements are in place for the secure storage of all documents and other examination material for the required period after the Examination Board meeting, as per university arrangements, and with due regard to data retention and GDPR requirements.
- (iv) Arrangements are in place for *examination reviews* and *appeals* as and when they arise.

4.21.3 Internal Examiner

Assessment is a principal responsibility of an Internal Examiner (usually the module Lecturer). In discharging this responsibility, the Internal Examiner / Lecturer will have overall responsibility for the assessment of modules and shall:

- (i) have a duty of care in the preparation of assessments and in the compilation of accurate marks
- (ii) apply high ethical standards including fairness, objectivity, and consistency in the communication of assessment criteria, and in student assessment and feedback
- (iii) prepare reliable and valid assessments as a basis for inferring the standards of knowledge, skill or competence achieved by students relative to module and programme intended learning outcome
- (iv) ensure that all assessments are outlined on the programme assessment plan, that they comply with the provisions of the approved programme schedule (APS), and that details of how assessments will be marked is communicated to student
- (v) draft examination papers, continuous assessments, marking schemes etc as per the agreed deadlines for review by the External Examiner(s) and engage constructively with the External Examiner(s) in the revision of same
- (vi) communicate provisional coursework results and relevant feedback to students in a timely manner. Where a module contains both coursework and examination components, the provisional coursework results shall normally be communicated to students at least two weeks in advance of the final examination
- (vii) be familiar with the provisions of all relevant academic policies and procedures relating to student assessment and shall individually and collectively ensure and promote the integrity of the assessments and examination process
- (viii) attend Examination Board meetings and participate in the decision-making process
- (ix) make arrangements for student consultations and rechecks and ensure assessed material is available in the event of a review being requested.

In discharging these duties, an Internal Examiner must inform their Head of Department or Head of Academic Unit of any conflict of interest (perceived or actual) that may arise in the assessment of a student(s) – see section 4.19.

4.21.4 External Examiner

External examining is a quality assurance mechanism employed by the University, and is designed to ensure independence and external governance in the assessment and grading of student performance.

External Examiners have a responsibility to assure standards in the modules to which they are appointed, as well as in the overall programme and award to which they are appointed, subject to the requirements of the *University's Quality Assurance* & *Enhancement Framework (QAEF)*.

- An appointed external examiner should be an independent person of demonstrated academic or professional excellence, whose association with a particular programme(s) is considered to enhance the academic integrity of the programme(s).
- The criteria for appointment including the number of examiners per programme or set of modules, qualification level, term of appointment and any restrictions shall be set out by the university. The programme name and modules shall be identified at the time of nomination but may be amended during the term of the contract as per the needs of the university.
- The process for nomination and approval of an external examiner shall be set out by the university.
- External examiner appointments require approval by the Academic Standards and Policy committee of Academic Council.
- The duties of the external examiner shall be clearly defined and communicated to the external examiner on appointment.
- External examiners will be required to store all Records securely and in compliance with data protection laws and regulations.
- The procedure detailing all aspects of the appointment, function, and duties
 of the External Examiner are set out in AQAE014 Procedure for External
 Examination.

4.21.5 Programme Board

The Programme Board is responsible for the design, development and monitoring of a programme leading to a named award. The Board is additionally responsible for

the modules that constitute a programme, the module intended learning outcomes, and the programme learning outcomes. Programme Board members involved in curriculum delivery and student assessment are additionally members of the relevant Examination Board when convened.

The Programme Board shall prepare an indicative *programme assessment plan* (refer to section 4.5.1 of this document), by stage, in advance of the stage delivery, and communicate same to all registered students.

Procedures for the operation of the programme board are documented in the University procedural document, 'Procedure for the Operation of Programme Boards'.

4.21.6 Examination Board

Decisions in respect of student marks is the responsibility of the Examination Board. The Examination Board comprises the Head of Department, and all examiners involved in student assessment for a programme and / or programme stage, plus an Independent Chair nominated by the Vice President for Academic Affairs & Registrar. Additionally, a Head of Department serves in the role of secretary to the Examination Board meeting. The remit of the Examination Board is to consider and verify student performance in assessment and examination and to make decisions in respect of student progression and awards. Examination Board decisions are subsequently recommended to Academic Council for approval.

4.21.7 Examinations Pre-board / Preparatory Meeting

Decisions in respect of student marks is the responsibility of the Examination Board (see referring section, 4.21.6). Notwithstanding, preparatory meetings (frequently referred to as "Exam Pre-boards") for the purposes of completing records in respect of student assessment and to discuss potential recommendations to Examination Boards should take place in advance of Examination Board meeting(s). Exam Pre-boards may be organised by the Head of Department and / or the Programme or Year Chair.

4.21.8 Frequency of Examination Board Meetings

Examination Boards shall normally meet after every examination session in accordance with a schedule of dates advised by the Vice President for Academic Affairs & Registrar. A meeting of an Examination Board can be convened by the Vice

President for Academic Affairs & Registrar outside of examination sessions, where required, with notice (normally three days) given to members for preparation.

4.21.9 Academic Council

As provided for in section 17 of the Technological Universities Act 2018 ('Functions of Academic Council'), the Vice President for Academic Affairs & Registrar shall seek the formal ratification of final results by Academic Council.

5. Associated Documents Generated by this Policy

Procedure for Highlighting Impaired Performance / Adverse Personal Circumstances

Procedure for Applying for an Examination Deferral

Procedure for Requesting a Review of Examination

Procedure for Appeal following Examination

Procedure and Regulations for University Assessments and Examinations

AQAE014 Procedure for External Examination

Procedure for the Operation of Programme Boards

6. Revision History

Revision No	Description of Change	Approval Date
Ver 1	ATU Marks and Standard version 1.0	01/Apr/2022
002	Revision of ATU Marks and Standard version 1.0 issued for academic year 22-23. Further detail and clarification added.	Academic Council Approval 17 /Feb/2023
003	Revision of section 4.21.4 to provide more detail on policy related to External examination.	Academic Council Approval 27/10/2023

7. Appendices

7.1 Glossary of Acronyms Used in this Policy

APS – Approved Programme Schedule

CA – Coursework Assessment

DAB – Designated Awarding Body

ECTS – European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System

EHEA – European Higher Education Area

EQF – European Qualifications Framework

ESG – European Standards and Guidelines

FE – Final Examination

HEI – Higher Education Institution

QAEF – Quality Assurance and Enhancement Framework

QQI – Quality and Qualifications Ireland

RA – Repeat Examination

RPL - Recognition of Prior Learning